Introduction	
0000000000	

Macroeconomics 1 (1/7)

The growth model with an exogenous saving rate (Solow-Swan)

Olivier Loisel

ENSAE

September – December 2024

Long-term growth

- "Growth": growth of the per-capita Gross Domestic Product (GDP).
- Growth is a relatively recent phenomenon:

Year	1500	1820	1992
World population (millions)	425	1068	5441
Per-capita world GDP (\$ of 1990)	565	651	5145

Source: Maddison (1995).

- The average annual world-GDP growth rate is
 - 0,04% from 1500 to 1820,
 - 1,21% from 1820 to 1992.

Dispersion of per-capita GDPs across countries in 1960



Source: Barro and Sala-i-Martin (2004). Per-capita GDP expressed in \$ of 1996.

Olivier Loisel, ENSAE

Macroeconomics 1 (1/7): The Solow-Swan model

Introduction	
00000000000	

Dispersion of per-capita GDPs across countries in 2000



Source: Barro and Sala-i-Martin (2004). Per-capita GDP expressed in \$ of 1996.

Introduction	Presentation	Resolution
00000000	000000000	0000000

Dispersion of growth rates across countries, 1960-2000



Source: Barro and Sala-i-Martin (2004). "Growth rate of per capita GDP, 1960-2000": average annual growth rate of per-capita GDP from 1960 to 2000 (e.g., 0.02 = 2% per year).

Questions

- Main questions addressed in Parts 1 and 2 of the course:
 - Main questions addressed in Faits 1 and 2 of the cou
 - how to explain this long-term growth?
 - how to explain this dispersion of per-capita GDPs and of growth rates across countries?
 - what economic policy to conduct in order to "optimize" long-term growth?
 - Questions that can be judged more important, for human welfare, than questions about short-term macroeconomics fluctuations (Lucas, 2003).

roduction	Presentation	Resolution
000000000000000000000000000000000000000	000000000	000000000000000000000000000000000000000

Growth theories

- "Exogenous-growth theory (resp. endogenous-growth theory)" ≡ theory in which the long-term growth rate is equal (resp. is not equal) to an exogenous technical progress.
- Exogenous-growth theories:
 - the model with an exogenous saving rate (studied in Chapter 1),
 - the model with an endogenous saving rate (studied in Chapter 2).
- Endogenous-growth theories:
 - the model with learning by doing (studied in Chapter 4),
 - the model with product variety (studied in Chapter 5),
 - the Schumpeterian model (not studied in this course).
- Joseph A. Schumpeter: Austrian economist, born in 1883 in Triesch, deceased in 1950 in Salisbury, professor at Harvard University from 1927 to 1950.

troduction	Presentation	Resolution
00000000	000000000	000000000000000000000000000000000000000

Solow-Swan model

Ir

- The model with an exogenous saving rate, built independently by Solow (1956) and Swan (1956), is called the "**Solow-Swan model**".
- **Robert M. Solow**: American economist, born in 1924 in New York, professor at MIT since 1950, laureate of the Sveriges Riksbank's prize in economic sciences in memory of Alfred Nobel in 1987 "for his contributions to the theory of economic growth".
- **Trevor W. Swan**: Australian economist, born in 1918 in Sydney, deceased in 1989, professor at the Australian National University from 1950 to 1983.
- This model is not micro-founded, unlike the other models studied in the course, but it is nonetheless studied in Chapter 1 because
 - it remains a very useful benchmark to understand economic growth,
 - it serves to introduce some concepts used in the other models.

ntroduction	Presentation	Resolution
0000000000	000000000	000000000000

Stocks and flows

- In continuous time,
 - a **stock** is a variable that has a meaning only at a given time,
 - a **flow** is a variable that has a meaning only over an arbitrarily short period.
- For instance, capital K_t is a stock, investment I_t is a flow:
 - at time t, capital is K_t,
 - from time t to time t + dt, where $dt \rightarrow 0^+$, investment is $I_t dt$.
- The derivative of a stock with respect to time is a flow.
- For instance, absent capital depreciation,

$$\dot{K}_t \equiv \lim_{dt\to 0^+} \frac{K_{t+dt} - K_t}{dt} = I_t.$$

• Unlike flows, stocks are necessarily continuous functions of time (except in the presence of particular shocks like "earthquake shocks").

Olivier Loisel, Ensage

ntroduction	Presentation	Resolution
0000000000	000000000	000000000000000000000000000000000000000

Instantaneous growth rate of a stock or a flow

- Let X_t denote a stock or a flow, and dt a duration arbitrarily close to 0.
- From time t to time t + dt, the growth rate of X_t is

$$\frac{X_{t+dt}-X_t}{X_t}.$$

• Per unit of time, this growth rate is

$$\frac{X_{t+dt}-X_t}{X_t dt}.$$

• At time t, the instantaneous growth rate of X_t is

$$\lim_{dt\to 0^+}\frac{X_{t+dt}-X_t}{X_tdt}=\frac{X_t}{X_t}.$$

Chapter outline

Introduction

Presentation

- 8 Resolution
- Ositive implications
- Sormative implications

Onclusion

Ø Appendix

Presentation of the model

Introduction

Presentation

- General overview
- Variables
- Production function
- Dynamics of capital
- 8 Resolution
- Ositive implications
- Sormative implications

6 Conclusion



General overview of the model I

- Capital (stock) and labor (flow) are used to produce goods (flow).
- Goods (flow) are used for consumption (flow) and investment in new capital (flow).
- The **saving rate** (quantity of non-consumed, or saved, or invested goods / total quantity of produced goods) is **exogenous**.
- Capital (stock) evolves over time due to investment (flow) and capital depreciation (flow).

General overview of the model II



(In blue: stock; in black: flow.)

Exogenous variables

Neither flows nor stocks:

- continuous time, indexed by t,
- saving rate s, such that 0 < s < 1.

• Flow:

• labor = 1 per person.

Stocks:

- initial capital $K_0 > 0$,
- population $L_t = L_0 e^{nt}$, where $L_0 > 0$ and $n \ge 0$,
- productivity parameter $A_t = A_0 e^{gt}$, where $A_0 > 0$ and $g \ge 0$.

Endogenous variables

• Flows:

- production Y_t ,
- consumption C_t .
- Stock:
 - capital K_t (except at t = 0).
- Solving the model \equiv getting each endogenous variable as a function of only exogenous variables.

Introduction	Presentation	Resolution
00000000	000000000	0000000

Production function I

- **Production function** *F*: *Y*_t = *F*(*K*_t, *A*_t*L*_t) (technological progress increasing labor's efficiency, called "Harrod-neutral" technological progress).
- **Roy F. Harrod**: English economist, born in 1900 in London, deceased in 1978 in Holt, professor at Oxford University from 1923 to 1967.
- Denoting by F_j the first derivative of F and $F_{j,j}$ its second derivative with respect to its j^{th} argument for $j \in \{1, 2\}$, we make the following assumptions on F:

$$\bullet \ F: \ \mathbb{R}^{+2} \to \mathbb{R}^+, \ (x, y) \mapsto F(x, y); \ \forall (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{+2}, \ F(x, 0) = F(0, y) = 0.$$

② *F* is strictly increasing in each of its arguments: $\forall (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{+2}$, $F_1(x, y) > 0$ and $F_2(x, y) > 0$ (the marginal productivities of capital and effective labor are strictly positive).

Production function II

- F is strictly concave in each of its arguments: ∀(x, y) ∈ ℝ⁺², F_{1,1}(x, y) < 0 and F_{2,2}(x, y) < 0 (the marginal productivities of capital and effective labor are strictly decreasing).</p>
- *F* is **homogeneous of degree 1** (or "constant returns to scale"): $\forall (x, y, \lambda) \in \mathbb{R}^{+3}$, $F(\lambda x, \lambda y) = \lambda F(x, y)$.
- Solutions (Inada, 1963):

$$\begin{aligned} \forall y &\in \mathbb{R}^+, \lim_{x \to 0^+} F_1(x, y) = +\infty \text{ and } \lim_{x \to +\infty} F_1(x, y) = 0, \\ \forall x &\in \mathbb{R}^+, \lim_{y \to 0^+} F_2(x, y) = +\infty \text{ and } \lim_{y \to +\infty} F_2(x, y) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

• **Example** of function satisfying these assumptions: Cobb-Douglas function $F(x, y) = x^{\alpha}y^{1-\alpha}$ with $0 < \alpha < 1$.

Rewriting the production function

• Denoting by $\kappa_t \equiv \frac{\kappa_t}{A_t L_t}$ the stock of capital per effective-labor unit, we get

$$\frac{Y_t}{A_t L_t} = \frac{1}{A_t L_t} F(K_t, A_t L_t) = F(\kappa_t, 1) \equiv f(\kappa_t)$$

where f has the following properties:

1
$$f \colon \mathbb{R}^+ o \mathbb{R}^+$$
, $z \mapsto f(z)$, with $f(0) = 0$,

- 2 f is strictly increasing: $\forall z \in \mathbb{R}^+$, f'(z) > 0,
- **(3)** f is strictly concave: $\forall z \in \mathbb{R}^+$, f''(z) < 0,
- f satisfies the **Inada conditions**: $\lim_{z\to 0^+} f'(z) = +\infty$ and $\lim_{z\to +\infty} f'(z) = 0.$

Shape of the production function f



Other production functions

- Part 1 of the tutorials considers other production functions, which do not necessarily satisfy the same conditions:
 - Y_t = K^α_t H^β_t (A_tL_t)^{1-α-β}, where H_t represents human capital,
 Y_t = K^α_t R^β (A_tL_t)^{1-α-β}, where R represents a stock of natural resources in fixed quantity (like land),

with $\alpha > 0$, $\beta > 0$ and $\alpha + \beta < 1$.

Assumptions on capital dynamics

- Solution From t to t + dt, an exogenous and constant fraction s of output $Y_t dt$ is saved and invested in new capital, with 0 < s < 1.
- **②** From t to t + dt, an exogenous and constant fraction δdt of the capital stock K_t disappears because of capital depreciation, with $\delta > 0$.
- \hookrightarrow The capital-stock dynamics is thus governed by the equation

$$K_t = \underbrace{sY_t}_{\text{savings}} - \underbrace{\delta K_t}_{\text{depreciation}}$$

Resolution

- Introduction
- Presentation
- 8 Resolution
 - Differential equation
 - Steady state
 - Convergence to the steady state
 - Resolution in the Cobb-Douglas case
- Ositive implications
- Sormative implications
- Onclusion



Introduction	Presentation	Resolution
000000000	000000000	00000000

Differential equation

• Dividing $K_t = sY_t - \delta K_t$ by A_tL_t and using $\kappa_t \equiv K_t/(A_tL_t)$ and $Y_t/(A_tL_t) = f(\kappa_t)$, we get

$$\frac{K_t}{K_t}\kappa_t = sf(\kappa_t) - \delta\kappa_t.$$

• Then, using

$$\frac{\dot{K}_t}{K_t} = \ln K_t = \ln \kappa_t + \ln A_t + \ln L_t = \frac{\dot{\kappa}_t}{\kappa_t} + \frac{\dot{A}_t}{A_t} + \frac{\dot{L}_t}{L_t} = \frac{\dot{\kappa}_t}{\kappa_t} + g + n,$$

we get the differential equation

$$\kappa_t = \underbrace{sf(\kappa_t)}_{\text{savings}} - \underbrace{(n+g+\delta)\kappa_t}_{\substack{\text{dilution plus}\\ \text{depreciation}}}$$

to be solved for a given κ_0 .

Steady state I

• Steady state (or stationary growth path, or balanced-growth path) \equiv situation in which κ_0 is such that all quantities are non-zero and grow at constant rates.

• Dividing
$$\dot{\kappa}_t = sf(\kappa_t) - (n + g + \delta)\kappa_t$$
 by κ_t , we get that

$$\frac{\kappa_t}{\kappa_t}$$
 is constant over time $\Rightarrow \frac{f(\kappa_t)}{\kappa_t}$ is constant over time.

• We show in the appendix that the function $z \mapsto f(z)/z$ is strictly decreasing.

Steady state II

• The function $z \mapsto f(z)/z$ is therefore bijective, which implies that

$$rac{f(\kappa_t)}{\kappa_t}$$
 is constant over time $\Rightarrow \kappa_t$ is constant over time.

- As a consequence, at the steady state, κ_t is constant over time.
- Replacing κ_t with 0 in the differential equation and using the bijectivity of $z \mapsto f(z)/z$, we get that κ_t at the steady state is equal to the unique value $\kappa^* > 0$ such that

$$sf(\kappa^*) = (n+g+\delta)\kappa^*.$$

Steady state III



Steady state IV

- Differentiating $sf(\kappa^*) = (n + g + \delta) \kappa^*$ with respect to s, n, g or δ , and using $sf'(\kappa^*) < n + g + \delta$, we get that κ^* is
 - increasing in s,
 - decreasing in *n*, *g*, δ ,

as the previous figure illustrates.

• If $F(x, y) = x^{\alpha}y^{1-\alpha}$ with $0 < \alpha < 1$ (\equiv "Cobb-Douglas case"), then we have $f(z) = z^{\alpha}$ and hence

$$\kappa^* = \left(\frac{s}{n+g+\delta}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}$$

Convergence to the steady state

• Graphical representation of $\dot{\kappa}_t = sf(\kappa_t) - (n + g + \delta) \kappa_t$:



• κ_t therefore converges to κ^* .

Olivier Loisel, ENSAE

Interpretation of the convergence to the steady state I

(In italics: per effective-labor unit.)

marginal productivity of capital $F_1(K_t, A_t L_t)$ decreases from $+\infty$ (when $K_t \rightarrow 0$) to 0 (when $K_t \rightarrow +\infty$) marginal productivity of capital $f'(\kappa_t)$ decreases from $+\infty$ (when $\kappa_t \to 0$) to 0 (when $\kappa_t \to +\infty$) average productivity of capital $\frac{f(\kappa_t)}{\kappa_{\star}}$ decreases from $+\infty$ (when $\kappa_t \rightarrow 0$) to 0 (when $\kappa_t \rightarrow +\infty$) 1

.11.

Interpretation of the convergence to the steady state II

The convergence of κ_t to κ^* is thus due the decreasing nature of capital productivity.

Olivier Loisel, ENSAE

Resolution in the Cobb-Douglas case I

• If $F(x, y) = x^{\alpha}y^{1-\alpha}$ with $0 < \alpha < 1$, then the differential equation becomes

$$\dot{\kappa}_t = s\kappa_t^{\alpha} - (n+g+\delta)\kappa_t.$$

• Using $u_t \equiv \kappa_t^{1-\alpha}$, we get $u_t = (1-\alpha) \kappa_t^{-\alpha} \kappa_t$ and the differential equation can thus be rewritten as

$$\frac{u_t}{s - (n + g + \delta) u_t} = 1 - \alpha.$$

Introduction	Presentation	Res
000000000	000000000	000

Resolution in the Cobb-Douglas case II

• Integrating this last equation, we get

$$\frac{-1}{n+g+\delta}\ln\left[\frac{s-(n+g+\delta)u_t}{s-(n+g+\delta)u_0}\right] = (1-\alpha)t$$

and then

$$u_t = \frac{s - [s - (n + g + \delta) u_0] e^{-(n + g + \delta)(1 - \alpha)t}}{n + g + \delta}$$

• Using $\kappa_t = u_t^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}$ and the expression of κ^* , we then get

$$\kappa_t = \left\{ (\kappa^*)^{1-\alpha} - \left[(\kappa^*)^{1-\alpha} - \kappa_0^{1-\alpha} \right] e^{-(n+g+\delta)(1-\alpha)t} \right\}^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}},$$

which says that $\kappa_t^{1-\alpha}$ converges **exponentially**, at the rate $(n+g+\delta)(1-\alpha)$, to its steady-state value $(\kappa^*)^{1-\alpha}$.

Resolution in the Cobb-Douglas case III

- Let $y_t \equiv \frac{Y_t}{L_t}$ denote output per labor unit, which corresponds to per-capita GDP.
- Using $y_t = A_t \kappa_t^{\alpha}$, we get

$$y_t = \left\{ (\kappa^*)^{1-\alpha} - \left[(\kappa^*)^{1-\alpha} - \kappa_0^{1-\alpha} \right] e^{-(n+g+\delta)(1-\alpha)t} \right\}^{\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}} A_0 e^{gt}.$$

Positive implications	Normative implications	Conclusion	Appendix
0000000000000	0000000	000	00

Positive implications

- Introduction
- Presentation
- 3 Resolution

Positive implications

- Long-term growth
- Effect of a permanent increase or decrease in a parameter
- Conditional convergence, not absolute convergence
- Sormative implications

Onclusion

Ø Appendix

Positive implications	Normative implications	Conclusion	Appendix
000000000000	0000000	000	00

Long-term growth

• Let $G_t \equiv \frac{y_t}{y_t}$ denote the growth rate of per-capita output.

• We have
$$y_t = A_t f(\kappa_t)$$
, so

$$G_t = \ln y_t = \ln A_t + \ln f(\kappa_t) = \frac{A_t}{A_t} + \frac{f'(\kappa_t)\kappa_t}{f(\kappa_t)} = g + \frac{f'(\kappa_t)\kappa_t}{f(\kappa_t)}.$$

• Since
$$\lim_{t \to +\infty} \frac{f'(\kappa_t) \kappa_t}{f(\kappa_t)} = 0$$
, we get

$$\lim_{t\to+\infty}G_t=g,$$

that is to say that the long-term growth rate is equal to the rate of technological progress.

Olivier Loisel, ENSAE

Positive implications	Normative implications	Conclusion	Appendix
0000000000000	0000000	000	00

The two sources of growth

- Let $k_t \equiv \frac{K_t}{L_t}$ denote the per-capita capital stock.
- We have y_t = F(k_t, A_t), so the two potential sources of growth of per-capita output y_t are
 - the increase in the per-capita capital stock k_t ,
 - technological progress, that is to say the increase in productivity A_t .
- In the short term, growth can come from these two factors.
- In the long term, growth can come only from the second factor: without technological progress (g = 0), $k_t \rightarrow A_0 \kappa^*$ when $t \rightarrow +\infty$, and there is no long-term growth.

Positive implications	Normative implications	Conclusion	Appendix
0000000000000	0000000	000	00

Long-term path of $ln(y_t)$

- Let $y_t^* \equiv A_t f(\kappa^*)$ denote the steady-state value of y_t .
- The path of $\ln(y_t) = \ln(A_0) + \ln[f(\kappa_t)] + gt$ has for asymptote, as $t \to +\infty$, the path of $\ln(y_t^*) = \ln(A_0) + \ln[f(\kappa^*)] + gt$, in the sense that

$$\lim_{t\to+\infty} \left[\ln(y_t) - \ln(y_t^*) \right] = 0.$$

- Therefore, the long-term path of $ln(y_t)$ is a straight line that has
 - a y-intercept which depends positively on A_0 , s,
 - a y-intercept which depends negatively on n, g, δ ,
 - a slope which depends positively on g.

Positive implications	Normative implications	Conclusion	Appendix
0000000000000	0000000	000	00

Graphical representation in the Cobb-Douglas case



Positive implications	Normative implications	Conclusion	Appendix
0000000000000	0000000	000	00

Effect of a discontinuous change in a parameter

- Following a discontinuous change in s, n, δ or g,
 - kt remains a continuous function of time because it is a stock,
 - A_t remains a continuous function of time because it is a stock (if $g = g_0$ for t < T and $g = g_1$ for $t \ge T$, then $A_t = A_0 e^{g_0 t}$ for $t \le T$ and $A_t = A_T e^{g_1(t-T)}$ for $t \ge T$),
 - y_t remains a continuous function of time because $y_t = F(k_t, A_t)$.

• Let
$$c_t \equiv \frac{C_t}{L_t}$$
 denote per-capita consumption.

- We have $c_t = (1-s)y_t$, so
 - following a discontinuous change in n, δ or g, c_t remains continuous,
 - following a discontinuous change in s, ct varies discontinuousy.

Positive implications	Normative implications	Conclusion	Appendix
0000000000000	0000000	000	00

Effect of a permanent increase in s



(The economy is assumed to be initially at the steady state.)

Olivier Loisel, ENSAE

Macroeconomics 1 (1/7): The Solow-Swan model

Positive implications	Normative implications	Conclusion	Appendix
00000000000000	0000000	000	00

Effect of a permanent decrease in n or δ



(The economy is assumed to be initially at the steady state. The speed of convergence of $ln(y_t)$ to its new long-term path is lower than on page 41.)

Olivier Loisel, ENSAE

Positive implications	Normative implications	Conclusion	Appendix
00000000000000	0000000	000	00

Effect of a permanent increase in g



(The economy is assumed to be initially at the steady state. The speed of convergence of $ln(y_t)$ to its new long-term path is higher than on page 41.)

Olivier Loisel, ENSAE

Positive implications	Normative implications	Conclusion	Appendix
00000000000000	0000000	000	00

Conditional convergence, not absolute convergence

- Conditional convergence of per-capita output levels (in logarithm) across countries: long-term convergence of ln(yt) across countries that have different y0s but the same
 - technological parameters A_0 , g, f(.),
 - parameters governing the dynamics of capital and labor s, n, δ ,

because these countries have the same long-term path of $ln(y_t)$.

- No absolute convergence: no long-term convergence of ln(y_t) across countries that have different parameters A₀, g, f(.), s, n, δ.
- An economy grows all the more rapidly as it is far away from its own long-term path, not all the more rapidly as it is poor.

Positive implications	Normative implications	Conclusion	Appendix
000000000000000000000000000000000000000	0000000	000	00

Example of conditional convergence



Positive implications	Normative implications	Conclusion	Appendix
000000000000000000000000000000000000000	0000000	000	00

Example of divergence



Positive implications	Normative implications	Conclusion	Appendix
000000000000000000000000000000000000000	0000000	000	00

In the data, no sign of absolute convergence...

No convergence of per-capita GDPs within a group of heterogeneous countries



Source: Jones (2015). "Growth rate, 1960-2011": average annual growth rate from 1960 to 2011.

Positive implications	Normative implications	Conclusion	Appendix
0000000000000000	0000000	000	00

...but some signs of conditional convergence

Convergence of per-capita GDPs within a sub-group of homogeneous countries (the OECD countries)



Source: Jones (2015). "Growth rate, 1960-2011": average annual growth rate from 1960 to 2011.

Positive implications	Normative implications	Conclusion	Appendix
0000000000000	0000000	000	00

Conditional-convergence tests

• The empirical literature that tests conditional convergence usually estimates, on panel data, an equation of type

$$\frac{1}{T}\ln\left(\frac{y_{i,t+T}}{y_{i,t}}\right) = \beta_0 + \beta_1\ln\left(y_{i,t}\right) + \beta_2 X_{i,t} + u_{i,t}$$

where $X_{i,t}$ is a vector of control variables including s_i , n_i , δ_i (assuming that countries have access to the same technology).

• The conditional-convergence hypothesis then corresponds to $\beta_1 < 0$ and is usually not rejected by the data.

Positive implications	Normative implications	Conclusion	Appendix
0000000000000	0000000	000	00

Normative implications

- Introduction
- Presentation
- Resolution
- Ositive implications
- Solution Normative implications
 - Golden rule of capital accumulation
 - When $s > s_{gr}$
 - When $s < s_{gr}$

Onclusion

O Appendix

Positive implications	Normative implications	Conclusion	Appendix
0000000000000	0000000	000	00

Golden rule of capital accumulation I

• Steady-state per-capita consumption is equal to

$$(1-s) y_t^* = (1-s) A_t f(\kappa^*).$$

- It is positive and goes to 0 as $s \rightarrow 0$ and as $s \rightarrow 1$.
- As a consequence, it is maximal for a value $s_{gr} \in]0; 1[$ of s.
- Using $sf(\kappa^*) = (n + g + \delta) \kappa^*$, we can rewrite it as

$$A_t \left[f(\kappa^*) - \left(n + g + \delta \right) \kappa^* \right].$$

Positive implications	Normative implications	Conclusion	Appendix
0000000000000	000000	000	00
		-	

Golden rule of capital accumulation II

• As a consequence, s_{gr} is the unique value of s such that

$$f'(\kappa^*) = n + g + \delta$$

(i.e. such that the marginal productivity of capital per effective-labor unit is equal to the sum of the capital depreciation and dilution rates).

- This last equation is called the "golden rule of capital accumulation" (Phelps, 1966).
- Edmund S. Phelps: American economist, born in 1933 in Evanston, professor at Columbia University since 1971, laureate of the Sveriges Riksbank's prize in economic sciences in memory of Alfred Nobel in 2006 "for his analysis of intertemporal tradeoffs in macroeconomic policy".
- On the next page, we first determine Point A by using the golden rule of capital accumulation, and then we deduce Points B and C; the segment AB represents the maximal vertical distance between the production curve and the dilution-plus-depreciation straight line.



<u>Note</u> : κ^*_{gr} denotes the value of κ^* when $s = s_{gr}$.

Positive implications	Normative implications	Conclusion	Appendix
0000000000000	00000000	000	00

When $s > s_{gr}$ |

- When $s > s_{gr}$, a decrease in s towards s_{gr} would increase per-capita consumption $(1 s) y_t$ at all times:
 - in the long term (by definition of s_{gr}),
 - in the short term (as the increase in 1 s would outweigh the decrease in y_t).
- In this case, there is dynamic inefficiency (≡ situation in which one could increase per-capita consumption at all times), due to capital over-accumulation.
- To the extent that agents' welfare depends positively on their consumption in the short and long terms, this reduction of *s* towards *s*_{gr} is desirable.

Positive implications	Normative implications	Conclusion	Appendix
0000000000000	00000000	000	00

When $s > s_{gr}$ II



Positive implications	Normative implications	Conclusion	Appendix
0000000000000	00000000	000	00

When $s < s_{gr}$ |

- When $s < s_{gr}$, an increase in s towards s_{gr}
 - would increase per-capita consumption in the long term (by definition of s_{gr}),
 - would reduce it in the short term (as the decrease in 1 s would outweigh the increase in y_t).
- In this case, there is no dynamic inefficiency.
- To assess the desirability of this increase in *s* towards *s*_{gr}, we need to weight the utility of consumption in the short term and the utility of consumption in the long term (which is done in Chapter 2).

Positive implications	Normative implications	Conclusion	Appendix
0000000000000	0000000	000	00

When $s < s_{gr}$ II



Positive implications	Normative implications	Conclusion	Appendix
0000000000000	0000000	•00	00

Conclusion

Introduction

Presentation

8 Resolution

Ositive implications

Sormative implications

6 Conclusion

Ø Appendix

Positive implications	Normative implications	Conclusion	Appendix
0000000000000	0000000	000	00

Main predictions of the model

- In the long term, growth comes only from technological progress.
- The effect of capital accumulation on growth disappears in the long term because of the decreasing marginal productivity of capital.
- There is conditional convergence of per-capita output levels (in logarithm) across countries.
- There is dynamic inefficiency, due to capital over-accumulation, when the saving rate exceeds its golden-rule value.

Positive implications	Normative implications	Conclusion	Appendix
0000000000000	0000000	00●	00

Two limitations of the model

• The saving rate s is exogenous. If it were endogenous, then

- could we still have dynamic inefficiency?
- what role should a policy affecting the saving rate play?
- \hookrightarrow Chapter 2 endogenizes the saving rate.
- The rate of technological progress g is exogenous. If it were endogenous, then
 - could some policies affect it?
 - what role should they play?

 \hookrightarrow Chapters 4 and 5 ("endogenous-growth theories") endogenize the rate of technological progress.

Positive implications	Normative implications	Conclusion	Appendix
0000000000000	0000000	000	•0

Appendix

Introduction

Presentation

- 8 Resolution
- Ositive implications
- Sormative implications

6 Conclusion

Appendix

Positive implications	Normative implications	Conclusion	Appendix
0000000000000	0000000	000	0

Proof that $z \mapsto f(z)/z$ is strictly decreasing

- Function f is strictly concave, and hence such that any arc is above its chord.
- In particular, $\forall y \in \mathbb{R}^+ \setminus \{0\}$, $\forall \lambda \in]0, 1[$,

$$f(\lambda y) = f[(1-\lambda)0 + \lambda y] > (1-\lambda)f(0) + \lambda f(y) = \lambda f(y).$$

• Setting $\lambda = \frac{x}{y}$ with 0 < x < y, we then get: $\forall (x, y) \in (\mathbb{R}^+ \setminus \{0\})^2$, if x < y then $\frac{f(x)}{x} > \frac{f(y)}{y}$.

• Function
$$z \mapsto f(z)/z$$
 is therefore strictly decreasing.